

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/759,857	01/17/2004	Boris Kobrin	MS-001.US (1285-100)	8766	
7590 05/08/2006			EXAMINER		
DUCKOR, SPRADLING, METZGER & WYNNE			LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT		
Suite 2400 401 West A Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Diego, CA 92101-7915			1763		
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/759,857	KOBRIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrie R. Lund	1763	

	Jeffrie R. Lund	1763	:				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment; aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later are reduced any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL		Clad till t					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause				
(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TF helow).	Coddoc				
(b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		12 50.017,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	••	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protein the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:	•						
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10, 26</u> .	· ·						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
	A 1						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	it or other evidence is	or be entered sinecessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:	, , , ,						
		1 1/2 //					
	·	Jeffrie R. Lund Primary Examiner					
		,					

Art Unit: 1763

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new amendments require further consideration. The new claims require that each coating precursor delivery system includes a process chamber (see element f) and two process controllers (see elements e and h). These elements are new matter. The Examiner recomends that they be removed as elements of the coating prescursor delivery system and included as part of the apparatus. Elements g and h are connected to the coating percursor delivery system and are part of the precursor delivery system, which is not possible. It is not clear if elements e and h are the same process controller.